1 2 3 4 5 6	GIBSON DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP ETHAN D. DETTMER, SBN 196046 edettmer@gibsondunn.com ABIGAIL A. BARRERA, SBN 301746 abarrera@gibsondunn.com ASHLEY J. HODGE, SBN 287653 ahodge@gibsondunn.com ANTHONY D. BEDEL, SBN 324065 tbedel@gibsondunn.com 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415.393.8200		
7	Facsimile: 415.393.8306		
8 9 10 11	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP ALEXANDER H. SOUTHWELL (pro hac vice) asouthwell@gibsondunn.com 200 Park Avenue, 48th Floor New York, NY 10166 Telephone: 212.351.4000 Facsimile: 212.351.4035		
12	Attorneys for Defendant PLAID INC.		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	OAKLAND DIVISION		
16	JAMES COTTLE, et al.,	CASE NO. 4:20-cv-03056-DMR	
17	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 3:20-cv-04230	
	,	DEFENDANT PLAID INC.'S RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF MITCHELL'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD	
18	V.		
19	PLAID INC.,		
20	Defendant.	BE RELATED	
21		Hon. Donna M. Ry	⁄u
22			
23		Action Filed:	May 4, 2020
24		Trial Date:	None Set
25			
26			
27			
28			

Case 4:20-cv-03056-DMR Document 38 Filed 06/29/20 Page 2 of 2

On June 26, 2020, Plaintiff Logan Mitchell filed an Administrative Motion to Consider 1 2 Whether Cases Should be Related, arguing that the above-captioned case is related to *Mitchell v*. 3 Plaid Inc., No 3:20-cv-04230 (N.D. Cal.). See Dkt. No. 37. 4 Plaid Inc. supports Plaintiff's Administrative Motion because both actions "concern 5 substantially the same [alleged] parties, property, transaction or event." Civ. L.R. 3-12(a)(1), (2). 6 The actions involve the same defendant, Plaid Inc., and the same plaintiffs since the putative classes 7 are nearly identical. Moreover, the two actions involve similar legal theories, and almost entirely 8 overlapping causes of action. Further, both complaints allege similar facts relating to Plaid's alleged 9 violations of state and federal privacy laws. 10 Thus, given the similarity of the alleged parties, underlying factual allegations, and legal 11 theories, relating these two actions will promote efficiency and prevent inconsistent results. Indeed, 12 relation would mitigate the "unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense" that Plaid Inc. 13 would be required to expend in the event it had to litigate these matters separately. Civ. L.R. 3-14 12(a)(2). 15 16 Dated: June 29, 2020 17 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 18 19 By: /s/ Ethan Dettmer Ethan D. Dettmer (SBN 196046) 20 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921 21 Telephone: 415.393.8200 Fax: 415.393.8306 22 23 Attorneys for Defendant Plaid Inc. 24 25 26 27 28

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP